

**TABLE OF CONTENTS /
TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS**

Foreword / Avant-propos / Prólogo	7
Coordinators / Coordinateurs / Coordinadores	11
Contributors / Contributeurs / Contribuyentes	13
Acknowledgments / Remerciements / Agradecimientos	17

INTRODUCTION / INTRODUCTION / INTRODUCCIÓN

INTRODUCTION. – Open Questions concerning the Boundaries of European Private International Law	21
Jean-Sylvestre BERGÉ	
INTRODUCTION. – Questions ouvertes autour des frontières du droit international privé européen	26
Jean-Sylvestre BERGÉ	
INTRODUCCION. – Preguntas abiertas en torno a las fronteras del derecho internacional privado europeo	30
Jean-Sylvestre BERGÉ	

**FIRST PART / PREMIÈRE PARTIE / PRIMERA PARTE
EUROPEAN INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW
AND NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL LAW
DROIT INTERNATIONAL PRIVÉ EUROPÉEN
ET DROIT NATIONAL ET INTERNATIONAL
DERECHO INTERNACIONAL PRIVADO EUROPEO
Y DERECHO NACIONAL E INTERNACIONAL**

INTRODUCTION TO THE FIRST PART. – European Private International Law and National and International Law	37
Miguel GARDEÑES SANTIAGO	

682 TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS

INTRODUCTION DE LA PREMIÈRE PARTIE. – Droit international privé européen et droit national et international	42
Miguel GARDEÑES SANTIAGO	

INTRODUCCIÓN A LA PRIMERA PARTE. – Derecho internacional privado europeo y derecho nacional e internacional	47
Miguel GARDEÑES SANTIAGO	

TITRE I
INTRODUCTORY CONTRIBUTIONS
CONTRIBUTIONS LIMINAIRES
CONTRIBUCIONES INTRODUCTORIAS

CHAPITRE 1. – The EU Regulation on Succession Matters and the Territorial Conflicts of Laws within the European Boundaries	55
Albert FONT I SEGURA	

I. – PRESENTATION	56
II. – HOW THE EU SOLVED TERRITORIAL CONFLICTS OF LAWS, UNTIL THE EU’S REGULATION ON SUCCESSION	58
III. – THE SOLUTION ESTABLISHED IN THE EU’S REGULATION ON SUCCESSION	61
IV. – THE POSSIBLE PROBLEMS CAUSED BY ART. 36 OF THE EU’S REGULATION ON SUCCESSION WITHIN THE EU	64
A. – <i>Testate or intestate succession</i>	65
1. – <i>The deceased is a Spanish citizen</i>	65
2. – <i>The deceased is a European citizen</i>	67
B. – <i>Agreements as to succession</i>	70
1. – <i>Agreement as to succession regarding the succession of one person</i>	71
2. – <i>Agreement as to succession regarding the succession of several persons</i>	72
V. – CONCLUSION	73

CHAPITRE 2. – Enforcement of Foreign Mediation Agreements within the European Union	74
Guillermo PALAO MORENO	

INTRODUCTION	75
I. – THE ENFORCEABILITY OF AGREEMENTS RESULTING FROM MEDIATION AS THE PRINCIPLE OF DEPARTURE	77

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS	683
II. – THE ENFORCEMENT OF MEDIATION SETTLEMENTS	78
III. – THE CROSS-BORDER ENFORCEMENT OF SETTLEMENTS	80
IV. – ASSESSMENT	83
CHAPITRE 3. – Tribunal Unificado de Patentes: Competencia Judicial y Reconocimiento de Resoluciones (Unified Patent Court: International Jurisdiction and Recognition of Judgements) . .	84
Pedro Alberto DE MIGUELASENSIO	
INTRODUCCIÓN	86
I. – TRIBUNAL UNIFICADO DE PATENTES : COMPETENCIA POR RAZÓN DE LA MATERIA, REPARTO INTERNO DE PROCEDIMIENTOS Y COMPETENCIA JUDICIAL INTERNACIONAL.	87
II. – CONTENIDO DEL REGLAMENTO (UE) N° 542/2014 QUE MODIFICA EL REGLAMENTO BRUSELAS I <i>BIS</i>	90
III. – LA COMPETENCIA JUDICIAL INTERNACIONAL TRAS EL REGLAMENTO (UE) N° 542/2014	95
A. – <i>Extensión de las reglas de competencia a los demandados no domiciliados en un Estado miembro</i>	95
B. – <i>La regla sobre competencia subsidiaria en la Propuesta de Reglamento</i>	97
C. – <i>El nuevo artículo 71 ter apartado 3 del Reglamento Bruselas I bis .</i>	100
D. – <i>Valoración crítica de la competencia basada en la presencia de bienes del demandado.</i>	101
IV. – RECONOCIMIENTO Y EJECUCIÓN DE RESOLUCIONES	108
A. – <i>Alcance del Reglamento (UE) n° 542/2014.</i>	108
I. – <i>Motivos de denegación del reconocimiento</i>	110
B. – <i>Medidas de ejecución</i>	111
CONCLUSIÓN	113

TITRE 2

YOUNG RESEARCHERS CONTRIBUTIONS
CONTRIBUTIONS DES JEUNES CHERCHEURS
CONTRIBUCIONES DE LOS JÓVENES INVESTIGADORES

CHAPITRE 1. – Agency and Distribution Contracts: National Rules v. European Private International Law	117
María Asunción CEBRIÁN SALVAT	

684 TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS

I. – DISPARITY BETWEEN EUROPEAN AND NATIONAL PERCEPTIONS OF WEAKER PARTIES TO A CONTRACT	118
II. – THE GREY AREA OF COLLABORATION CONTRACTS	119
A. – <i>Spanish protective private international law rules</i>	121
B. – <i>Belgian protective private international law rules</i>	121
III. – PREVALENCE OF THESE RULES OVER EPIL.	122
A. – <i>International Jurisdiction provisions</i>	122
1. – <i>The facts of the case and the preliminary question</i>	123
2. – <i>The decision of the ECJ and its implications</i>	124
B. – <i>Conflict-of-law provisions</i>	125
1. – <i>Facts of the case and preliminary question</i>	125
2. – <i>How to detect an overriding mandatory provision: the question of public interest</i>	126
3. – <i>The decision of the Court</i>	129
4. – <i>Implications of the decision</i>	130
IV. – CONCLUSIONS	131
CHAPITRE 2. – Reconciling Mandatory Norms and European Union Private International Law in Contractual Obligations.	133
Michaël DA LOZZO	
I. – ABSENCE OF CONTROL OVER THE OVERRIDING NATURE OF A NATIONAL NORM.	136
A. – <i>A missed opportunity to clarify the overriding qualification criteria</i>	137
B. – <i>Incoherencies created by an absence of strict control</i>	140
II. – NEED OF CONTROL OVER THE OVERRIDING MANDATORY RULES	144
A. – <i>Influence of the mandatory rules mechanism</i>	144
B. – <i>Influence of the system of recognition and enforcement of decisions</i>	149
CONCLUSION	152
CHAPITRE 3. – The European Legal Framework on Consumer Online Dispute Resolution (ODR)	154
Josep SUQUET CAPDEVILA	
I. – TOWARDS A CONTEXTUAL FRAMEWORK OF CONSUMER ODR.	155
A. – <i>The lack of appropriate means of consumer redress</i>	155
B. – <i>Technologies for an informal justice</i>	157
C. – <i>Consumers’ access to justice in the EU</i>	159

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS	685
II. – A EU LAW APPROACH TOWARDS CONSUMER ADR/ODR	161
A. – <i>The EU secondary legislation on consumer ADR/ODR</i>	161
B. – <i>Mediation in civil and commercial aspects versus consumer mediation</i>	163
III. – THE DIRECTIVE 2013/11/EU ON CONSUMER ADR	166
A. – <i>Its aim and scope of application</i>	166
B. – <i>ADR entities and Competent authorities</i>	169
C. – <i>Regulatory principles</i>	170
D. – <i>Information, assistance and cooperation</i>	173
IV. – AN ODR PLATFORM FOR CONSUMER DISPUTES	174
A. – <i>Its aim and scope of application</i>	174
B. – <i>Functions of the ODR platform</i>	174
C. – <i>Network of ODR contact points</i>	177
D. – <i>Submission and resolution of the complaints</i>	178
V. – CONCLUSIONS	179
CHAPITRE 4. – European Account Preservation Order: What does the Common Law Tradition have to say?	181
Nicolas KYRIAKIDES	
I. – PLACE OF THE REGULATION IN THE NATIONAL, INTERNATIONAL, AND EUROPEAN LEGAL ORDER	183
II. – AVAILABLE OPTIONS FOR THE EAPO	185
III. – COMMON LAW CHARACTERISTICS MISSING FROM THE EAPO REGULATION	187
CHAPITRE 5. – Cross Border Creditor’s Protection: the Impact of the European Account Preservation Order	191
Maria Teresa SOLÍS SANTOS	
INTRODUCTION	192
I. – TRANSPARENCY OF DEBTOR’S BANK ACCOUNTS	193
II. – THE DEBTOR’S DOMICILE	198
III. – ABOLITION OF EXEQUATUR	200
IV. – IMPACT ON THE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS OF THE DEBTOR	201
CONCLUSION	202

CHAPITRE 6. – Judicial Powers over Penalty Clauses and Proceedings Followed before an English Court.	203
Verona TIÓ	
I. – INTRODUCTION: REMEDIES AND THE LEX FORI	204
A. – <i>English PIL and RIR</i>	206
B. – <i>Lex fori regit processum and RIR</i>	209
II. – A WORKABLE HYPOTHETICAL CASE	212
III. – THE CAVEAT OF THE LIMITS OF THE POWERS CONFERRED ON THE COURT BY ITS PROCEDURAL LAW	215
A. – <i>The deliberations on the caveat</i>	216
B. – <i>From the general to the specific: lack of consensus</i>	218
C. – <i>Limits identified: rationale and consequence?</i>	220
D. – <i>French judicial powers alien to forum's traditions and practices as a “limit”?</i>	221
 CHAPITRE 7. – Discrimination against Third State Respondents in Regulation (EC) No. 2201/2003	 225
Céline CAMARA	
INTRODUCTION	226
I. – BRUSSELS II ₄ RULES: GOING BEYOND THE BOUNDARIES OF THE FSJA	228
A. – <i>The schizophrenic scope of Brussels IIa</i>	229
B. – <i>The preservation of national sovereignty and exorbitant grounds of jurisdiction</i>	230
II. – THE CATEGORIZATION OF RESPONDENTS: A EUROCENTRIC TERNARY DISTINCTION	232
A. – <i>EU respondents: the insular approach to exorbitant grounds of jurisdiction</i>	233
B. – <i>Third-State respondents: the category fully exposed to exorbitant jurisdiction</i>	234
III. – RECONCILING EUPIL WITH PIL APPLICABLE TO THIRD-STATE RESPONDENTS	235
A. – <i>The reconciliation: extension of jurisdiction rules to third-State respondents</i>	236
B. – <i>EUPIL in family matters: a uniform regulation of jurisdiction in relation to Third States</i>	238
CONCLUSION	239

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS	687
CHAPITRE 8. – Moving Back and Forth: On the Relationship between the Brussels I Regulation and International and National Law	241
Libor HAVELKA	
INTRODUCTION	242
I. – ARTICLE 71 OF THE REGULATION AND THE COURT’S INTERPRETATION.	243
II. – INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE 71 OF THE REGULATION BY NATIONAL COURTS	245
A. – <i>Subsidiary Application of the Regulation</i>	245
B. – <i>Clash of Specialised Conventions and Principles Underlying the Regulation</i>	248
III. – SHORTCOMINGS OF THE PRESENT SYSTEM	250
IV. – POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS.	252
A. – <i>Systematic Changes</i>	252
B. – <i>Role of the National Courts - Possible Options Available Within the Current Framework</i>	253
CONCLUSION	256
CHAPITRE 9. – Reform to the Regime of <i>lis Pendens</i> in Regulation Brussels I bis and Harmonization with Chinese Jurisdiction	257
Huang ZHANG	
INTRODUCTION	258
I. – THE REGIME OF <i>LIS PENDENS</i> IN RBI AND RBI <i>BIS</i>	258
II. – THE REGIME OF <i>LIS PENDENS</i> IN CHINESE LAW AND CHALLENGE MET BY CHINESE JURISDICTION AFTER PROMULGATION OF RBI <i>BIS</i>	264
III. – A POSSIBLE BILATERAL INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION REGARDING <i>LIS PENDENS</i> BETWEEN CHINA AND THE EU	268
CONCLUSION	271
CHAPITRE 10. – (Lack of) International Jurisdiction over Third-Country Polluters: A Trojan Horse to the EU’s Environmental Policy?	274
Eduardo ÁLVAREZ ARMAS	
INTRODUCTION	275

688 TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS

I. – THE CRYSTALLIZATION OF UN-EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN THE FRAME OF ENVIRONMENTAL TORTS CAUSED BY THIRD-COUNTRY POLLUTERS.	278
II. – THE SPECIAL CHARACTER OF ENVIRONMENTAL TORTS	280
CONCLUSION	285
CHAPITRE 11. – Reconciling the European Union Succession Regulation with the Private International Law of the UK.	287
Jayne HOLLIDAY	
INTRODUCTION	288
I. – HABITUAL RESIDENCE.	289
II. – CLAWBACK.	293
CONCLUSION	298
CHAPITRE 12. – The European Insolvency Regulation and the External World: The Boundaries of European Jurisdiction.	300
Nicolò NISI	
INTRODUCTORY REMARKS: THE SCOPE OF THIS CONTRIBUTION	301
I. – THE TERRITORIAL SCOPE OF APPLICATION OF THE INSOLVENCY REGULATION RELATING TO JURISDICTION	303
A. – <i>The role of internal market considerations in defining the scope of the EIR.</i>	303
B. – <i>The need for a substantive internal market approach.</i>	306
II. – THE (MODIFIED) UNIVERSALISM MODEL IN CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCIES AS A TOOL FOR DEFINING EUROPEAN JURISDICTION IN INSOLVENCY MATTERS.	307
III. – THE BOUNDARIES OF THE INSOLVENCY REGULATION AND THE APPLICATION OF THE <i>EFFET RÉFLEXE</i> DOCTRINE: TOWARD UNIVERSALITY IN INSOLVENCY MATTERS?	311
A. – <i>The reflexive effect of Art. 3 EIR.</i>	313
B. – <i>The EIR should apply to EU establishment of non-EU debtors</i>	316
C. – <i>Some reflections from the perspective of local (EU) creditors.</i>	318
CONCLUDING REMARKS: A PLEA FOR A TRULY “INTERNATIONAL” UNIVERSALISM OF EUROPEAN CONFLICTS OF JURISDICTION	320

CHAPITRE 13. – The Boundaries of Private International Law: State of European and American Exorbitant Jurisdiction Rules	323
Cécile PELLEGRINI	
I. – EXORBITANT JUDICIAL AREA UNDER THE US AND EU MODELS.	326
A. – <i>The European two-stage system</i>	327
B. – <i>The American broad jurisdiction and its forum non conveniens corrective</i>	330
II. – FRAMING THE JUDICIAL AREA IN THE US AND THE EU MODELS	334
A. – <i>Toward an international dialogue: answers to American exorbitance</i>	335
B. – <i>Towards more flexibility: answers of the Brussels I Regulation recast</i>	339
CONCLUSION	346
 CHAPITRE 14. – La Contratación entre Consumidores de la UE y Empresas de Terceros Estados: Evolucion del DIPR Europeo (Contracts between EU Consumers and Third Country Businesses: The Evolution of EU Private International Law)	 349
Clara Isabel CORDERO ÁLVAREZ	
INTRODUCCIÓN	350
I. – LA PROTECCIÓN DEL CONSUMIDOR EN EL REGLAMENTO BRUSELAS I	351
II. – LA AMPLIACIÓN DEL ALCANCE DE LA PROTECCIÓN EN EL REGLAMENTO BRUSELAS I <i>BIS</i>	354
III. – LA JURISPRUDENCIA DEL TJUE : DE BRUSELAS I A BRUSELAS I <i>BIS</i>	356
A. – <i>Determinación de la actividad dirigida</i>	356
B. – <i>Vinculación del contrato a la actividad dirigida</i>	358
IV. – CUESTIONES PENDIENTES	362
V. – LA EFICACIA EXTRATERRITORIAL DE LAS DECISIONES JUDICIALES	364
CONCLUSIONES	366

SECOND PART /
DEUXIÈME PARTIE /
SEGUNDA PARTE
EUROPEAN PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW AND EUROPEAN LAW
DROIT INTERNATIONAL PRIVÉ EUROPÉEN ET DROIT EUROPÉEN
DERECHO INTERNACIONAL PRIVADO EUROPEO Y DERECHO EUROPEO

<p>INTRODUCTION TO THE SECOND PART. – The “Internal” Borders within European Private International Law</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Stéphanie FRANCO</p> <p>INTRODUCTION DE LA SECONDE PARTIE. – Les frontières « internes » au droit international privé européen</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Stéphanie FRANCO</p> <p>INTRODUCCIÓN A LA SEGUNDA PARTE. – Las fronteras « internas » en el derecho internacional privado europeo</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Stéphanie FRANCO</p>	<p>371</p> <p>375</p> <p>379</p>
--	----------------------------------

TITRE I
INTRODUCTORY CONTRIBUTIONS
CONTRIBUTIONS LIMINAIRES
CONTRIBUCIONES INTRODUCTORIAS

<p>CHAPITRE I. – The Instrumentalisation of Private International Law: <i>Quo Vadis?</i> Rethinking the “Neutrality” of Private International Law in an Era of Globalisation and Europeanisation of Private International Law ...</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Prof. Dr. Veerle VAN DEN EECKHOUT</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. – PIL: ESOTERIC IVORY-TOWER SCIENCE AND/OR KEY ROLE IN SEVERAL HEATED AND TOPICAL SOCIO-LEGAL DEBATES</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">II. – RECENT DEVELOPMENTS APPEALING TO PIL</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">A. – <i>PIL: coming from a dogma of “neutrality”</i> ...</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">B. – ... on implicit and explicit instrumental use of PIL</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">1. – <i>The EU as a new actor in PIL: an explicit form of instrumentalisation of PIL</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">2. – <i>Manifestations of instrumentalisation of PIL also before and outside the process of Europeanisation of PIL</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">3. – <i>Questions on the governance role of PIL both in a context of Europeanisation of PIL or in a “European context”, and in a general context of globalisation</i></p>	<p>387</p> <p>388</p> <p>392</p> <p>392</p> <p>392</p> <p>392</p> <p>394</p> <p>395</p>
--	---

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS 691

C. – ... resulting in questions of the “neutrality” of PIL in a dual sense: neutral PIL versus non-neutral PIL and neutral-conducting, neutralised PIL that serves as a “path of least resistance” versus PIL that offers internal resistance to pressure from outside	398
III. – PRESENT STATE OF AFFAIRS: NEED FOR CONTEMPLATION AND REFLECTION, FOR FURTHER AND SYSTEMATIC ANALYSIS OF NEUTRALITY AND INSTRUMENTALISATION – A NEED FOR AN ANALYSIS OF THE OPPORTUNITY, LEGITIMACY, EFFECTIVENESS AND SIDE EFFECTS OF ASSIGNING AN INSTRUMENTAL FUNCTION TO PIL	400
IV. – A POSSIBLE METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH	402
A. – <i>An approach by carrying out, from the aforementioned research perspective, a number of case-studies.</i>	402
B. – <i>Exploratory study on the basis of case studies which show all kinds of similarities as well as differences</i>	402
V. – IN CONCLUSION: A ROLE FOR PIL IN ACHIEVING SOCIAL JUSTICE? . . .	404
CHAPITRE 2. – L’adaptation du droit international privé européen aux exigences du marché intérieur	407
Marion HO-DAC	
INTRODUCTION	408
I. – LA MISE EN PLACE DE CLAUSES D’ARTICULATION ENTRE LE DROIT INTERNATIONAL PRIVÉ ET LE DROIT DU MARCHÉ INTÉRIEUR.	411
A. – <i>Clauses d’articulation et droit primaire des libertés de circulation</i>	412
B. – <i>Clauses d’articulation et droit dérivé relatif au marché intérieur.</i> . . .	414
1. – <i>L’articulation de techniques du marché intérieur avec le droit international privé</i>	414
a. – <i>L’articulation entre les « clauses de police » et le droit international privé</i>	414
b. – <i>L’articulation entre les clauses « marché intérieur » et le droit international privé</i>	416
2. – <i>L’articulation des textes de droit privé uniforme européen avec le droit international privé</i>	418
II. – LA CRÉATION DE NOUVELLES RÈGLES DE DROIT INTERNATIONAL PRIVÉ EUROPÉEN AU SERVICE DU MARCHÉ INTÉRIEUR.	421
A. – <i>La création de règles européennes de conflit de lois</i>	421
1. – <i>Les règles européennes de conflit de lois suggérées par le droit primaire.</i>	422

692 TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS

2. – <i>Les règles européennes de conflit de lois suggérées par le droit dérivé</i>	423
B. – <i>La mise en place de procédés européens de reconnaissance</i>	425

TITRE 2

YOUNG RESEARCHERS CONTRIBUTIONS
CONTRIBUTIONS DES JEUNES CHERCHEURS
CONTRIBUCIONES DE LOS JÓVENES INVESTIGADORES

CHAPITRE 1. – The Principle of Effectiveness in European Law and European Private International Law: The Case of Transnational Employment in the European Court of Justice and English Courts.	429
---	-----

Uglješa GRUŠIĆ

INTRODUCTION	430
I. – THE PRINCIPLE OF EFFECTIVENESS IN EU LAW	432
II. – FUNDAMENTAL ECONOMIC FREEDOMS <i>VERSUS</i> THE RIGHT TO STRIKE	435
III. – TERRITORIAL SCOPE OF THE COMMERCIAL AGENTS DIRECTIVE AND IMPLEMENTING LEGISLATION	438
IV. – TERRITORIAL SCOPE OF EU LAW-DERIVED RIGHTS IN ENGLISH EMPLOYMENT LAW	441
CONCLUSIONS	447

CHAPITRE 2. – The Habitual Workplace and the Freedom of Establishment in International Transport	449
---	-----

Fieke VAN OVERBEEKE

INTRODUCTION	451
A. – <i>Origin of European private international law</i>	451
B. – <i>European dimension – Failure of reconciliation</i>	451
C. – <i>Focus – social aspects in the international transport sector</i>	453
I. – SITUATION EUROPEAN TRANSPORT SECTOR	454
II. – LEGAL FRAMEWORK – FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOM OF ESTABLISHMENT AND EPIL	455
A. – <i>Freedom of establishment</i>	455
1. – <i>From non-discrimination to market access approach</i>	455
2. – <i>Viking case</i>	457
a. – <i>Facts</i>	457
b. – <i>Findings of the Court</i>	457

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS 693

B. – <i>EPIL – Article 8 Rome I in the Koelzsch case</i>	458
1. – <i>Article 8 Rome I</i>	458
2. – <i>The Koelzsch case</i>	459
a. – <i>Facts</i>	459
b. – <i>Article 8 Section 3 par excellence written for international transport situations...?</i>	459
c. – <i>Findings of the Court</i>	459
C. – <i>Implications</i>	460
1. – <i>Influence of EU law on (E)PIL</i>	461
2. – <i>Still a non-discrimination approach in the Viking case?</i>	461
3. – <i>Evaluation</i>	462
III. – <i>PLACE AND BOUNDARIES OF EPIL</i>	462
A. – <i>EPIL as a chameleon</i>	462
B. – <i>Possible shift towards more labour protection in Europe</i>	463
1. – <i>Treaty of Lisbon and the interpretation by Advocate General Villalón</i>	463
2. – <i>Flipping trend by the EU legislator?</i>	464
a. – <i>Enforcing Directive on the Posting of Workers Directive</i> ...	464
b. – <i>Requirement of ‘effective and stable’ establishment for international transport companies ex Regulation (EC) No. 1071/2009</i>	465
CONCLUSIONS	466
CHAPITRE 3. – Les rapports entre la directive détachement et le Règlement Rome I : on efface tout et on recommence ?	468
Dr.Alexandre DEFOSSEZ	
INTRODUCTION	469
I. – LE REcul DE LA RECHERCHE DU LIEU HABITUEL DE TRAVAIL DANS LA DIRECTIVE DÉTACHEMENT	470
A. – <i>Le Règlement Rome I : l’articulation entre le lieu de travail habituel et les lois de police</i>	471
B. – <i>La directive détachement : un noyau dur de lois de police</i>	475
II. – VERS UNE RÉCONCILIATION DE LA DIRECTIVE DÉTACHEMENT AVEC LA NOTION DE LIEU DE TRAVAIL HABITUEL	479
A. – <i>L’arrêt Commission c/ Luxembourg : révélateur des manques de la directive</i>	479
B. – <i>La directive relative à l’exécution de la directive détachement : la recherche d’un nouvel équilibre entre loi du pays d’exécution du travail et loi du lieu habituel de travail</i>	483
CONCLUSION	487

694 TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS

CHAPITRE 4. – The Personal Scope of Consumer Law in the European Union (Aspects of Substantive Law and Private International Law)	489
Blandine DE CLAVIÈRE et Bianca PASCALE	
I. – CONSISTENT ELEMENTS.	492
II. – HETEROGENEOUS DEFINITIONS IN NATIONAL LEGISLATIONS	494
III. – AN INCOMPLETE EFFORT FROM THE EUROPEAN LEGISLATOR.	501
 CHAPITRE 5. – Vers un droit commun européen de la vente : l’ambition affichée de l’Union face à la modération des États membres.	507
Céline MOILLE	
I. – UNE PROPOSITION AUDACIEUSE, MAIS AU CHAMP D’APPLICATION LIMITÉ	509
II. – LA PLACE DE LA PROPOSITION DANS LE MÉCANISME CLASSIQUE DE DROIT INTERNATIONAL PRIVÉ ÉDICTÉ PAR L’UNION EUROPÉENNE	512
III. – LA DÉLICATE ARTICULATION DE LA PROPOSITION AVEC LES CONSTRUCTIONS EXISTANTES DE DROIT NATIONAL	514
 CHAPITRE 6. – Reconciling Private International Law with European Consumer Law: Where to Start Consumer Protection in the Context of E-Commerce?	521
Lydia BEIL	
INTRODUCTION	522
I. – GENERAL LEGISLATIVE PURPOSES	523
A. – <i>Promoting European cross-border e-commerce</i>	524
B. – <i>Consumer protection in general</i>	525
II. – THE DIFFERENT CRITERIA FOR THE MATERIAL SCOPE	525
A. – <i>Substantive European private law</i>	525
1. – <i>Distant contract as a general criterion</i>	526
2. – <i>Definition of the distance contract criterion</i>	527
B. – <i>Private International Law</i>	528
1. – <i>Material criterion used to apply consumer protection</i>	528
2. – <i>Development of the material scope</i>	529
3. – <i>Transfer to Rome I?</i>	530
4. – <i>Evaluation of the present state of the criterion</i>	531
5. – <i>Possible limitations and other options</i>	533

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS	695
III. – CESL AS A SOLUTION FOR THE FUTURE?	534
A. – <i>Relationship of the CESL with European PIL</i>	535
B. – <i>Scope of application of the CESL</i>	535
CONCLUSION	536
CHAPITRE 7. – The Impact of Primary EU Law on the Bilateral Conflict of Laws Rules of the Member States	538
Laura LIUBERTAITE	
INTRODUCTION	539
I. – <i>HOW DOES PRIMARY EU LAW AFFECT BILATERAL CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES OF THE MEMBER STATES?</i>	542
A. – <i>Does primary EU law affect the choice of connecting factor?</i>	542
1. – <i>Natural persons – nationality</i>	543
2. – <i>Companies – real seat</i>	546
B. – <i>Does primary EU law affect the application of bilateral conflict of laws rules of the member states?</i>	548
C. – <i>How does primary EU law influence choice of law method?</i>	554
1. – <i>Primary EU law as a reason for renewal of the bilateral method</i>	555
2. – <i>Primary EU law as a basis for an auxiliary use of the method of coordination of legal orders based on a global reference to the competent legal order</i>	556
3. – <i>Primary EU law as a cause of renaissance/metamorphosis of the method of recognition of situations</i>	558
4. – <i>Primary EU law as “a confirmed return flight ticket” for unilateralism</i>	560
CONCLUSION	562
CHAPITRE 8. – Articulating the Various PIL Instruments in the Field of Insolvency	563
Farouk BELLIL	
I. – THE DIFFICULTIES OF ARTICULATION BETWEEN THE CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCY AND THE CIVIL AND COMMERCIAL MATTERS IN EUROPEAN INSTRUMENTS	565
A. – <i>The delimitation of scope of application of Regulations (EC) No. 1346/2000 and No. 44/2001</i>	566
B. – <i>The question of the applicable regime to “other decision” within Directives on reorganization and winding-up of credit institutions and insurance companies</i>	568

696 TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS

II. – THE DIFFICULTIES RELATED TO THE DETERMINATION OF THE APPLICABLE LAW	570
A. – <i>The articulation of the European provisions relating to contracts of employment in case of insolvency of the employer . .</i>	570
B. – <i>The difficulties related to actions to set aside.</i>	573
CHAPITRE 9. – La protection des débiteurs dans les règlements européens de procédure civile : le réexamen du réexamen	580
Éléonore de DUVE et Katharina RAFFELSIEPER	
I. – PERSPECTIVE HORIZONTALE : LES RÈGLEMENTS EUROPÉENS DE JUSTICE CIVILE ET LE RÉEXAMEN	583
A. – <i>Analyse téléologique des règlements de justice civile prévoyant le réexamen.</i>	583
B. – <i>Modus operandi des règlements de justice civile prévoyant le réexamen.</i>	584
C. – <i>Le réexamen des règlements européens de justice civile</i>	586
1. – <i>Notions et conditions</i>	586
2. – <i>Un mécanisme uniforme ?</i>	586
3. – <i>Conséquences</i>	589
D. – <i>La révision des petits litiges</i>	590
II. – PERSPECTIVE VERTICALE : LA MISE EN ŒUVRE DU RÉEXAMEN AU NIVEAU NATIONAL.	591
A. – <i>Le pouvoir réglementaire des États membres</i>	591
B. – <i>Le pouvoir discrétionnaire national</i>	593
1. – <i>La juridiction compétente pour le réexamen</i>	593
2. – <i>La procédure</i>	594
3. – <i>Analyse.</i>	596
CONCLUSION	598
CHAPITRE 10. – The Relationship Between the Brussels I Recast and the Agreement on a Unified Patent Court, Specially Focusing on Patent Infringement: When Reality Exceeds Fiction	600
María Aránzazu GANDÍA SELLENS	
INTRODUCTION	601
I. – THE OPTION FOR AN INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION.	602
II. – EXCLUSIVE GROUNDS OF JURISDICTION	605
III. – THE NATIONAL JUDICIAL SYSTEM TO WHICH THE UPC WILL BELONG.	606

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS	697
IV.– INTERIM MEASURES	607
V.– <i>LIS PENDENS</i>	608
VI.– DEFENDANTS DOMICILED OUTSIDE THE EUROPEAN UNION	610
VII.– THE PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS OF A STAGGERED RATIFICATION OF THE UPC AGREEMENT	613
CONCLUSION	614
CHAPITRE 11.– The Coordination of Jurisdiction and Applicable Law in Related Proceedings: The Interaction Between the Proposal on Matrimonial Property Regimes and the Regulations on Divorce and Succession	617
Jacqueline GRAY et Pablo QUINZÁ REDONDO	
INTRODUCTION	618
I.– JURISDICTION	620
A.– <i>Jurisdiction upon Succession</i>	620
1.– <i>Jurisdiction in the absence of choice upon succession</i>	621
2.– <i>Prorogation of court upon succession</i>	621
B.– <i>Jurisdiction upon divorce</i>	623
1.– <i>Jurisdiction in the absence of choice of court agreement upon divorce</i>	623
2.– <i>Choice of court agreements upon divorce</i>	625
II.– THE APPLICABLE LAW	626
A.– <i>Law applicable in the absence of choice</i>	627
B.– <i>Choice of law</i>	630
1.– <i>Choice of law upon succession</i>	631
2.– <i>Choice of Law upon divorce</i>	632
III.– RECOMMENDATIONS	633
IV.– FINAL REMARKS	635
CHAPITRE 12.– The Method of Recognition in European Private International Law and its Scope of Application	636
Ioannis SOMARAKIS	
INTRODUCTION: THE NOTION OF RECOGNITION IN EU LAW AND IN PIL	637
I.– FORMS OF RECOGNITION IN EU LAW	638
A.– <i>Recognition in the field of the free movement of goods and services between the EU MSs</i>	638

698 TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS

B. – <i>Recognition in the field of the free movement of judgments between the EU MSs</i>	642
II. – THE METHOD OF RECOGNITION IN EUROPEAN PIL	643
A. – <i>Recognition of foreign public acts between the EU MSs</i>	646
1. – <i>The condition of crystallization</i>	648
2. – <i>The recognition of situations created ex lege or with private agreements</i>	649
B. – <i>Recognition of companies established within the EU</i>	651
C. – <i>Recognition of the personal status of EU citizens</i>	653
CONCLUSION	655
CHAPITRE 13. – La reconnaissance des situations de statut personnel constituées au sein des États tiers	658
Amélie PANET	
I. – LA RECONNAISSANCE DES SITUATIONS CONSTITUÉES DANS DES ÉTATS TIERS : POURQUOI ?	663
A. – <i>Les justifications tirées du droit de l'Union européenne</i>	663
B. – <i>L'assimilation des situations et des décisions</i>	665
C. – <i>La pression des droits fondamentaux et de la Cour eur. D.H.</i>	667
II. – LA RECONNAISSANCE DES SITUATIONS CONSTITUÉES DANS DES ÉTATS TIERS : COMMENT ?	670
A. – <i>Les difficultés qui se posent pour les situations provenant d'État tiers</i>	671
B. – <i>Quelles conditions pour la reconnaissance ?</i>	674
C. – <i>Quelles exceptions à la reconnaissance ?</i>	676
Table of contents / Table des matières / Tabla de contenidos	681